

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/882,627	CIESAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mitra Aryanpour	3711	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Mitra Aryanpour.

(3) _____.

(2) Charles Laff.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2005

Time: 5:40 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

39

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner recommended adding the word "hollow" before shaft so the record would be clear that the plurality of rods are secured within the hollow shaft of the swing trainer.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)